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Understanding the Role of Medicaid in Assisting People with Disabilities

OVERVIEW

People with disabilities present Medicaid with an incredibly wide array of complex conditions and expectations that are not well identified or understood. Medicaid reform, on the table at both the federal and state level, could profoundly affect people with disabilities. This population generates a disproportionate share of Medicaid expenditures and will likely be a focal point of reform efforts. Therefore, a better understanding of Medicaid’s beneficiaries with disabilities is critical to anticipate the effects from proposed reforms and to identify new opportunities for improving the delivery of cost-effective services. This Forum session will review how disability is defined, how Medicaid serves people with disabilities, and the systems that are in place to deliver care to this population.


SESSION

Pressure to fundamentally reform the Medicaid program continues to mount. The President has proposed spending reductions, congressional committees are holding hearings, the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services has chartered a reform commission, and the nation’s governors have issued a new policy outlining their priorities for Medicaid reform. Some states, such as Florida, are not waiting for Congress to act; instead, they are pursuing Medicaid reform through the use of waivers. This momentum for reform will generate myriad proposals that, if enacted, could greatly affect Medicaid’s beneficiaries.

Because Medicaid is really a conglomeration of categorical programs serving distinct populations, predicting the potential effects of such reform on Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities will be extremely difficult. For 25 million children and 14 million adults, Medicaid is a primary and acute care program.¹ For 5 million beneficiaries age 65 and older—particularly the 1.2 million who receive nursing home care—it is also a long-term care program.²

However, less well known is that Medicaid provides critical medical and supportive services to 8 million nonelderly beneficiaries with disabilities.³ Although they constitute just 16 percent of the Medicaid population, beneficiaries with disabilities generate 43 percent of the program’s expenditures. In addition, traditional analysis does not account for the beneficiaries who are disabled but do not qualify for Medicaid under the
Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program. Therefore, these figures both underestimate the total impact of people with disabilities on Medicaid and fail to convey the incredible diversity of their conditions and needs. To be successful, reform will have to be based on an understanding of the needs of this population that has such a dramatic effect on Medicaid expenditures. However, understanding these needs and crafting effective reforms will be challenging because of a lack of information on Medicaid’s beneficiaries with disabilities.

The information that is available on these beneficiaries comes from a body of research that is confusing, categorical, inconsistent, and incomplete. There are more than 20 federal definitions of disability for determining program eligibility and for use in statistical analysis. Thus, projecting the number of people with disabilities and the severity of their condition varies widely depending on the definition used.

Even when a single definition is used within a program, it can result in misunderstandings. In Medicaid research, the term “disabled beneficiary” usually refers to a category of people aged 64 and younger who became eligible through the SSI program. Yet, there are many enrollees with disabilities who obtain eligibility under other Medicaid categories: children, non-SSI adults, and the aged.

Perhaps the biggest hindrance in understanding the difficulties people with disabilities face within Medicaid is the fact that the population is a heterogeneous one with differing medical and supportive needs. Individuals’ conditions, which often vary in severity over time, include physical disabilities (such as quadriplegia and blindness), developmental disabilities (such as autism and mental retardation), disabilities due to mental health disorders (such as schizophrenia and bipolarism), and other disabling conditions (such as cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy, spina bifida, and HIV/AIDS). Each condition and combination of conditions present their own service delivery challenges.

Moreover, the expectations regarding what each beneficiary may achieve in terms of employment, living arrangements, and other activities, as well as the supportive services necessary to realize these expectations, can differ even among people who have the same condition. For example, services for disabled children may aim to enable a child to live with his or her parents and focus on education. For working age disabled adults, however, services may enable them to be employed. And for the aged disabled, supportive services can enable them to continue residing in their homes.

This Forum session will begin with an overview of disability, some of the criteria used to determine eligibility for federally funded health programs, and the general roles played by federal health financing programs in covering people with disabilities. A more detailed description of the role Medicaid plays in serving people with disabilities will follow. The speakers will describe the Medicaid beneficiaries who have physical and cognitive impairments, including a discussion of their health needs, the services they receive, and the systems that deliver their care. The meeting will conclude with a description and discussion of the unique health
needs and delivery systems of Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities due to mental disorders. Throughout the briefing, opportunities to enhance the understanding of the disabled population and improve the delivery of services will be noted.

KEY QUESTIONS

■ How does the federal government define disability, and how do the differing definitions hinder a better understanding of the needs and numbers of people with disabilities?

■ What are the most prevalent disabling conditions, and have there been new trends in recent years?

■ What major federal health programs assist people with disabilities?

■ How do people with disabilities become eligible for public assistance?

■ Which disabled populations are enrolled in Medicaid, and how do they affect the program?

■ What are the primary Medicaid delivery systems for people with physical, developmental, and mental disabilities?

■ What are the numbers and characteristics of Medicaid’s “hidden disabled,” that is, the disabled who qualify for Medicaid under nondisabled categories? Do the hidden disabled encounter greater difficulties in receiving specialty services and coordinated care?

■ What management techniques are used to ensure timely service referrals and to coordinate service delivery? How are services coordinated for people with multiple impairments?

■ What are the roles of managed care plans and home- and community-based service Medicaid waiver programs in delivering services to people with disabilities?

■ What data and management changes should be considered to ensure a smoother transition of benefit coverage and service delivery as a disabled person ages?
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